

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMUSSIONER FOR PATENTS P.C. Box 1936 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspid.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,032	(08/18/2003	John C. Pescatore	016295.1401 3223	
23640	7590	05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER B 910 LOUIS		LP .	SORRELL, ERON J		
HOUSTON, TX 77002-4995				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2182	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,032	PESCATORE, JOHN C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eron J. Sorrell	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration. r election requirement.					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,5-9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pekkala et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0172195 hereinafter "Pekkala").
- 3. Referring to claim 1, Pekkala teaches an information handling system (see figure 1) having a multi-host virtual bridge input-output resource switch (item 106, figure 1), said system comprising:

a plurality of server modules (items 102, figure 1), each of said plurality of server modules having at least one central processing unit (CPU) (item 122, figure 1), memory (item 126, figure 1) and at least one server input-output (I/O) port (item 104, figure 1);

Application/Control Number: 10/643,032
Art Unit: 2182

a plurality of input-output (I/O) modules (item 112, figure 1), each of said plurality of input-output modules having a module I/O port adapted for coupling to any one of the at least one server I/O port (see bus connections 116 and 132 connecting I/O modules to the switch); and

at least one input-output (I/O) switch (item 106, figure 1) comprising:

a plurality of input buffers (items 320, figure 3, and item 502, figure 5), wherein a one of the plurality of input buffers is coupled to each of the at least one server I/O port of each of the plurality of server modules and another one of the plurality of input buffers is coupled to the module I/O port of each of the plurality of I/O modules (see figure 3 and paragraph 72 on page 6);

a plurality of output buffers (items 320, figure 3, and item 504, figure 5), wherein a one of the plurality of output buffers is coupled to each of the at least one server I/O port of each one of the plurality of server modules and another one of the plurality of output buffers is coupled to the module I/O port of each of the plurality of I/O modules (see figure 3 and paragraph 72 on page 6);

a plurality of multiplexers (items 402, figure 4), wherein said plurality of input buffers and said plurality of output

buffers are coupled to said plurality of multiplexers (see paragraph 80 on page 8); and

control logic (item 408, figure 4) for controlling said plurality of multiplexers, wherein said plurality of multiplexers determine which ones of said plurality of input buffers are coupled to which ones of said plurality of output buffers (see paragraph 80 on page 8);

the at least one I/O switch (106, figure 1) is coupled to each of the at least one server I/O ports and to each of the module I/O ports, wherein said at least one I/O switch couples selected ones of the at least one server I/O ports to selected ones of the module I/O ports (see paragraph 70 on page 6).

- 4. Referring to claim 5, Pekkala teaches the information handling system further comprises an Ethernet controller in at least one of said plurality of server modules (see paragraph 57 on page 4).
- 5. Referring to claim 6, Pekkala teaches the at least one server I/O port is a serial port (see paragraph 56 on page 4).
- 6. Referring to claim 7, Pekkala teaches the module I/O port is a serial port (see paragraph 53 on page 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,032 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

- 7. Referring to claim 8, Pekkala teaches the at least one server I/O port is a serial PCI I/O port (see paragraph 59 on page 5).
- 8. Referring to claim 9, Pekkala teaches the module I/O port is a serial PCI I/O port (see item 206 in figure 2).
- 9. Referring to claim 12, Pekkala the information handling system further comprises a mapping table coupled to said control logic, said mapping table storing which ones of said plurality of input buffers are coupled to which ones of said plurality of output buffers (see item 412 in figure 4 and paragraphs 106-107 on page 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pekkala in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

12. Referring to claim 2, Pekkala fails to teach a bridge for coupling the CPU to the memory and to the at least one server I/O port.

The applicant admits at paragraph 3 of the instant specification, that typically, servers comprise bridges for coupling the CPU, memory, and the I/O port.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Pekkala with AAPA in order for different proprietary devices to communicate.

- 13. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pekkala in view of Heath et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,564,274 hereinafter "Heath").
- 14. Referring to claims 3 and 4, Pekkala fails to teach the information handling system further comprises at least one native input-output (I/O) device in at least one of said plurality of server modules, wherein the at least one native I/O

Application/Control Number: 10/643,032
Art Unit: 2182

device is an interface selected from the group consisting of USB, serial, keyboard, video and mouse interfaces.

Heath teaches, in an analogous system, the above limitations (see paragraphs bridging columns 5 and 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Pekkala with the above teachings of Heath in order to give a user access to the server using common peripheral devices (i.e. keyboard, mouse, and monitor).

- 15. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pekkala in view of McMillen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,872,904 hereinafter "McMillen").
- 16. Referring to claims 13 and 14, Pekkala fails to teach the information handling system further comprising initialization logic for initializing said control logic and said mapping table, wherein said initialization logic is external from said at least one I/O switch.

McMillen teaches, in an analogous system, the above limitations (see lines 10-15 of column 46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the

Art Unit: 2182

system of Pekkala with the above teachings of McMillen in order to provide default values for a fully configured network to as suggested by McMillen (see lines 10-15 of column 46).

- 17. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pekkala in view of McMillen as applied to claims 13 and 14 above, and further in view of Oehler et al. (U.S. Pub No. 2003/0037224 hereinafter "Oehler").
- 18. Referring to claims 15 and 16, the combination of Pekkala and McMillen fails to teach the initialization logic is coupled to said control logic with a low pin count interface, wherein the low pin count interface is selected from the group consisting of I2C or JTAG.

Oehler teaches, in an analogous system, the above limitation (see paragraph 39 on page 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Pekkala and McMillen with the above teachings of Oehler in order to allow for both static and dynamic configuring as suggested by McMillen (see paragraph 39 on page 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,032

Art Unit: 2182

- 19. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pekkala in view of Lawrence (U.S. Patent No. 6,826,196).
- 20. Referring to claim 17, Pekkala fails to teach the at least one I/O switch is accessed though a user interface.

Lawrence teaches, in an analogous system, the above limitation (see 54-65 of column 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Pekkala with the above teachings of Lawrence in order to allow the user to access and control the switch as suggested by Lawrence (see 54-65 of column 1).

Conclusion

21. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS

of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eron J. Sorrell whose telephone number is 571 272-4160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on 571-272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,032 Page 11

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EJS May 16, 2006

> KIM HUYNH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES

> > 5/16/03

Title: "Multi-Host Virtual Bridge Input-Output Resource Switch" Inventor: John C. Pescatore Attorney Docket No.: 016295.1401 1/5 OIPE FEB 2 4 2006 I/O Slot m I/O Bridge CPU **C** I/O Slot m-1 North Bridge I/O Slot m-2 I/O Bridge CPU n-1 **Embedded** Drowner of Spelole Memory 9

Figure 1 (Prior Art)



